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Background: Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss has great impact on quality of life. Many clinical trials using Chinese herbal medicine for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss have been conducted and reported beneficial results. However, there is no critical appraised evidence on efficacy and safety of Chinese herbal medicine for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss to inform clinical use. Objective of review: To assess the beneficial effect and safety of Chinese herbal medicine for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss.
Type of review: Systematic review of randomised clinical trials.
Search strategy: Seven electronic databases and two trial registries were searched for all eligible trials from inception to January 2013.
Evaluation method: Two authors independently selected trials and extracted data. The Cochrane risk of bias tool was utilised to assess the methodological quality of the included trials. REVMAN 5.2 software was applied for data analysis with effect estimate presented as risk ratio and mean difference with its 95\% confidence interval.
Results: Forty-one randomised clinical trials involving 3560 participants were identified. Five kinds of Chinese herbal medicine were trialed. All trials compared conven-
tional therapies of steroids, vasodilaltors, anticoagulants, nutritional supplements or hyperbaric oxygen with or without herbal medicine. No trial was identified that compared herbal medicine alone with placebo. No trial was identified that blinded the participants or the observers to their herbal medication. Only one trial adequately reported its method of randomisation. No trial reported the sample size calculated to show an effect. All trials had material other defects giving a high likelihood of bias. Because of the overall poor quality of all 41 trials, it was concluded that there was no level-one evidence to support the use of Chinese herbal medicine, alone or in addition to conventional therapies, to improve the hearing in adults with idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. Two trials reported adverse effects, and no severe adverse effects were found in the Chinese herbal medicine groups.
Conclusions: The existing evidence for the beneficial effect and safety of Chinese herbal medicine for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss comes from methodologically poor studies and therefore cannot be reliably used to support their clinical use. We identify a justification to further investigate the effect and safety of Chinese herbal medicine for people with idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss in rigorously designed randomised trials.

## Background

Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss (ISSHL) is defined as an abrupt or rapidly progressing hearing loss of at least 30 decibels in at least three contiguous audiometric frequencies over 72 h or less without recognised cause despite adequate investigation. ${ }^{1}$ The incidence of ISSHL is

[^0]reported as $\approx 8-15$ per 100000 persons per year, ${ }^{2,3}$ with some estimates ranging as high as 160 per 100000 per year. ${ }^{4}$ The cause of ISSHL remains unclear. There may be different mechanisms, such as vascular disturbance, viral infection, autoimmune disease or an inner ear membrane rupture. ${ }^{5,6}$

ISSHL has a significant impact on people's conversation and hearing in nosy environments. Their inability to localise sound may be very dangerous and put people at risk. ${ }^{1}$ Quality of life in people with ISSHL is diminished, and sufferers are more likely to experience anxiety and depression. ${ }^{7,8}$ Tinnitus and dizziness associated with ISSHL also diminish quality of life. ${ }^{9}$

Treatments for ISSHL have been diverse owing to its unknown aetiology. ${ }^{1}$ The most widely used therapeutic agents focus on improving oxygenation of the inner ear and blood circulation, involving corticosteroids, vasodilator agents, anticoagulants, volume expanders, hyperbaric oxygen and antivirals. ${ }^{1,10}$ However, there is no proven evidence to support clinical benefit of these therapies. ${ }^{11-15}$

Chinese herbal medicine (CHM) is widely used in China and other East Asian countries. ISSHL was first identified in Yellow Emperor's Inner Canon in the warring states period (475-221BC). ${ }^{16}$ According to traditional Chinese medicine theory, ISSHL is caused by wind-cold, wind-heat, hyperactivity of liver-fire, yin deficiency and yang excess, qi stagnation and blood stasis, stagnation of phlegm-fire. ${ }^{17}$ Clinical treatment using CHM is based on the principle of dispelling these pathogenic processes and normalising the body's balance. ${ }^{17}$

Many clinical studies of CHM for ISSHL have been conducted and reported with some promising results. ${ }^{18-24}$ However, there is no systematic summary of current evidence on efficacy and safety of CHM for ISSHL to justify their clinical use. The objectives of this review are to assess the effect and safety of CHM for ISSHL.

## Methods

## Registration number

The protocol of this systematic review has been registered in PROSPERO (available from http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/ PROSPERO/display_record.asp?ID=CRD42013003515).

## Eligibility criteria

We included randomised clinical trials which reported the effect of CHM for ISSHL with no limitation on language, blinding or publication type. ISSHL must be diagnosed with a clear description of diagnostic criteria. CHM was defined as single herb, Chinese patent medicine, practitionerprescribed herbal formula and herbal products extracted from natural herbs regardless of dosage, administration regimen or duration. The control could be no treatment, placebo or conventional therapy. Combination of CHM with conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy was also included. Quasi-randomised trials were excluded. The primary outcome was pure-tone audiometric threshold change at the end of treatment or follow-up. The secondary outcomes were improvement in hearing speech frequencies; improvement in clinical concomitant symptoms including tinnitus, dizziness, depression or anxiety; quality of life measured by a validated instrument or tool; and adverse effects associated with CHM.

## Study identification and selection

We conducted systematic searches for randomised clinical trials which could be published, unpublished and ongoing. The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, PubMed, EMBASE, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure Databases, Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database, Chinese Biomedical Literature Database and Wan Fang Database were searched from inception to January 2013. Ongoing registered clinical trials were searched through International Clinical Trial Registry Platform portal (http://www.who.int/ictrp/en/) and Clinical Trial Registry (http://clinicaltrials.gov/).

The following search terms were used individually or combined: 'hearing loss, sudden', 'hearing loss, sensorineural, sudden', 'sshl', 'snhl', 'ishl', 'isshl', 'issnhl', 'ssnhl', 'Chinese traditional', 'Chinese herbal', 'oriental traditional', 'herb', 'herbal medicine', 'clinical trial', and 'controlled trial'. We also checked the reference lists of eligible articles obtained for additional studies. The different strategies for the above databases are shown in Table S1.

Two authors (Su CX, Yan LJ) selected the eligible studies independently. Obviously irrelevant reports were removed after examining titles and abstracts. Full texts were retrieved if they met the eligibility criteria.

## Data extraction

Two authors (Su CX and Yan LJ) independently extracted data from the included trials using a piloted, standard data extraction form. For each of the trials, the data extracted included publication year, study type, diagnostic criteria, random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, sample size (total number and numbers in each group), participant characteristics, intervention and control, outcomes, conclusions, funding. For dichotomous outcomes, we extracted the number of participants with the event of interest, the number randomised to each group and the number analysed for each trial. Where study findings were uncertain or missing, we contacted trial authors for clarification and details. We resolved any differences in opinion through discussion or consultation to the third party (Liu JP).

## Assessment of risk of bias

We independently assessed the risk of bias of the included studies according to the criteria from the Cochrane Handbook version 5.1.0 that included random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting and other sources of bias. ${ }^{25}$

Quality of each trial was categorised into low/unclear/high risk of bias. If necessary, we contacted the authors of assessed trials for clarification. We resolved any differences in opinion through discussion or consultation to the third party (Liu JP).

## Data analysis

REVMAN 5.2 software was used for data analyses. We performed the following comparisons if data available: CHM versus no treatment, CHM versus placebo, CHM with or without conventional therapy versus conventional therapy. Meta-analysis was used if the trials had an acceptable homogeneity on study design, participants, interventions, control and outcome measures. We used a fixed-effect model for the meta-analysis for non-significant heterogeneity and random-effect model for significant heterogeneity ( $P<0.1$ ). The effect estimate was presented as risk ratio with its $95 \%$ confidence interval for dichotomous outcome, and as mean difference with its $95 \%$ confidence interval for continuous outcome. If we had identified a sufficient number of randomised clinical trials, sensitivity analyses were performed to explore the influence of trial quality on effect estimates. Publication bias was explored using a funnel plot analysis if sufficient trials (e.g., 10 trials or over) were found.

## Results

## Study selection

Of 675 potential articles of interest identified from the initial search, 243 duplicates and 286 irrelevant studies were excluded by screening the titles and abstracts. Of 146 fulltext articles were further checked. Finally, 41 studies met the eligibility criteria and were included in this systematic review (Fig. 1). ${ }^{26-66}$

## Study characteristics

All trials were conducted and published in China, of which 36 were journal articles ( $87.8 \%$ ) and five were postgraduate theses ( $12.2 \%$ ). There were 38 trials with two arms and three trials with three arms.

Forty-one trials involved 3560 participants, ranging from 48 to 226 with an average of 86.8 per trial. ${ }^{6,29} 52.8 \%$ participants were male except two trials which failed to report gender. All of the trials used Chinese national diagnostic criteria of ISSHL. Of 14 trials defining inclusion criteria, one trial only included participants 15 days after hearing loss ${ }^{38}$ and another trial only accepted participants who were untreated 14 days before the trial. ${ }^{59}$ There was no information on exclusion criteria in 15 trials.


Abbreviation: CNKI: Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure Databases; VIP: Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database; SioMed: Chinese Biomedical Literature Database; CENTRAL: The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials

Fig. 1. Flow chart of study selection.

Five kinds of CHM were employed in the included trials. The formulations included herbal formula (fixed herbal formula and practitioner-prescribed herbal formula in 34 trials), powder (seven trials), herbal extract injection (six trials), pill (six trials) and capsule (one trial). No trial described quality standards of the herbal preparations. Conventional therapies included oral and injected corticosteroids, vasodilator agents, anticoagulants, a mixture of nutritional supplements and hyperbaric oxygen. The duration of treatment ranged from 7 days to 56 days.

All trials described some form of hearing assessment as the outcome. Forty-one trials reported proportions of participants with improvement in pure-tone audiometric threshold (i.e. pure-tone audiometric threshold change $\geq 15 \mathrm{~dB}),{ }^{67,68}$ and three trials also reported mean improvement in pure-tone audiometric threshold. Twelve trials reported proportion of participants with improvement in dizziness (i.e. marked relief or disappearance of dizziness) ${ }^{67,68}$ after treatment and six trials reported proportion of participants with improvement of tinnitus (i.e. marked relief or disappearance of tinnitus) after treatment. ${ }^{67,68}$ Only one trial reported depression and anxiety. ${ }^{61}$ No trial reported any data on improvement in hearing speech frequencies or quality of life. Only two trials reported adverse effects. ${ }^{51,62}$

The characteristics of included trials are listed in Table 1.

## Risk of bias

We attempted to contact with authors of all included trials for clarification and details. As few trial reports provided the contact details such as corresponding authors' phone number or email address, only one author was contactable and provided the missing information. ${ }^{48}$

Only one trial reported method of randomisation using 'drawing of lots'. ${ }^{48}$ Allocation concealment was reported in only one trial as use of open random allocation schedule. ${ }^{48}$ No trial conducted blinding. Only two trials provided details of study attrition, of which one reported no participants dropped out, ${ }^{48}$ the other reported two participants withdrew due to some reasons, but intention-to-treat analysis was not performed. ${ }^{62}$ To assess selective reporting, we searched the registration information of the included trials through trial registries. Unfortunately, no trial was registered and no protocol was available. Therefore, selective reporting was assessed by comparing the outcomes in the method and in the results, and five trials were considered as high risk of bias because not all the pre-specified outcomes in the method of trial publications were reported in the results. ${ }^{28,40,51,61,62}$ No trial conducted estimation of sample size. Baseline comparability was stated in 13 trials. Consequently, all of the trials
had a high risk of bias and were assessed as low quality of trials (Fig. 2).

## Effect estimates

Among the 41 included trials, 35 trials addressed outcomes with exact time points, but no trial reported what the trial's primary or secondary outcome would be. No trial compared CHM to no treatment or placebo, only one trial compared CHM to conventional therapy, ${ }^{40}$ and 40 trials compared CHM in combination with conventional therapy to the same conventional therapy. The possibility of pooling the effect estimation was limited due to issues of heterogeneity. The effect estimates of CHM are shown in the Table 2.

Mean improvement of pure-tone audiometric threshold. Of three trials providing data on this outcome at the end of treatment, only one trial demonstrated CHM was superior to conventional therapy (mean difference $-26.17,95 \%$ confidence interval -29.15 to -23.19 ) after 56 days treatment. ${ }^{61}$ In contrast, data from the other trials showed no significance between groups.

Proportion of participants with improvement of pure-tone audiometric threshold. Of 41 trials reporting this outcome, only one trial tested the effect of CHM (Tongqiao Conger decoction) compared with conventional therapy (betahistine mesylate) and found no statistical difference after follow-up of 6 months (risk ratio $1.08,95 \%$ confidence interval 0.88 to 1.32 ). ${ }^{40}$ The remaining 40 trials compared CHM in combination with conventional therapy to the same conventional therapy; $50 \%$ showed significant differences favouring CHM at the end of treatment.

Proportion of participants with improvement of tinnitus and dizziness. Twelve trials provided data on improvement in tinnitus. Only six trials showed CHM led to an increase in the proportion attaining improvement in tinnitus.

Six trials reported improvement in dizziness as an outcome. One trial demonstrated CHM was superior to conventional therapy (risk ratio 8.07, 95\% confidence interval 1.21 to 53.58 ) after 14 -day treatment, ${ }^{28}$ but conventional therapy was significantly more effective than CHM in another trial (risk ratio 0.33, $95 \%$ confidence interval 0.13 to 0.81 ) after 14-day treatment. ${ }^{62}$ The remaining trials failed to find any difference. ${ }^{35,36,38,51}$

Improvement of depression and anxiety. Only one trial contributed result to this outcome and demonstrated statistically improvement in anxiety (risk ratio $-2.80,95 \%$ confidence interval -4.80 to -0.80 ) and no difference in
Table 1. Basic characteristic of included studies

| Study ID | Participants and diagnostic criteria | Mean age (year) (median/range) | Sample | Men (\%) | Intervention | Control | Outcomes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chen ${ }^{26}$ | ISSHL patients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:45(21-69) } \\ & \text { C:42(17-67) } \end{aligned}$ | 117 | 65.8 | Modified Man Jinzi powder or Modified Longdan Xiegan decoction or Modified Tongqiao Huoxue decoction or Modified Erlong Zuoci pill or Modified Yiqi Conger decoction according to different syndromes, one dose each time, orally, once daily plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, anisodamine | PTATC, symptoms, adverse effects |
| Cui ${ }^{27}$ | ISSHL patients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}: 16-64 \\ & \mathrm{C}: 15-63 \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 51.7 | Practitioner-prescribed Zishen Yangxin Huayu decoction, one dose each time, orally, once daily plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, anisodamine, citicoline, ahylysantinfarctase | PTATC, symptoms |
| Duan ${ }^{28}$ | ISSHL outpatients 1997 version | I:NR C:NR | 76 | 52.6 | Erlong 2 powder, 9 g each time, orally, twice daily plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Lipo PGE, vitamin | PTATC, symptoms |
| Guan ${ }^{29}$ | ISSHL inpatients and outpatients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 32 \pm 5.21(21-57) \\ & \quad \text { C1: } 30 \pm 3.15(20-55) \\ & \mathrm{C}_{2}: 29 \pm 4.41(22-57) \end{aligned}$ | 226 | 55.8 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose each time ( 250 mL ), orally, once daily plus the same conventional therapy for 10 days | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ :Lipo PGE, ATP, <br> vitamin <br> $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ :Lipo PGE, ATP, <br> vitamin, <br> methylprednisolone | PTATC, symptoms |
| $\mathrm{Li}^{30}$ | ISSHL patients 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 40(25-68) \\ & \text { C:40(25-65) } \end{aligned}$ | 65 | 58.5 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose divided into twice daily, orally plus the same conventional therapy for 9-15 days | Betahistine, dexamethasone, hydrochloride injection, flunarizine, vitamin, HBO | PTATC |
| Liang ${ }^{31}$ | ISSHL patients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}: 15-63 \\ & \mathrm{C}: 14-64 \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 53.3 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose each time, orally, once daily plus the same conventional therapy for 28 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, citicoline | PTATC, symptoms |

Table 1. continued

| Study ID | Participants and diagnostic criteria | Mean age (year) (median/range) | Sample | Men (\%) | Intervention | Control | Outcomes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Liang ${ }^{32}$ | ISSHL patients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:NR } \\ & \text { C:NR } \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 49.0 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, orally, plus the same conventional therapy | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, nimodipine, DXM | PTATC |
| Liu ${ }^{33}$ | ISSHL patients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:42(12-68) } \\ & \text { C:40.5(9-63) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 56.7 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose daily, orally, plus the same conventional therapy for 28 days | Low molecular weight dextran, citicoline, co-enzyme A, vitamin, fluorine gonadorelin | PTATC, symptoms |
| Liu ${ }^{34}$ | ISSHL inpatients and outpatients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:55.7(45-70) } \\ & \text { C:53.5 } \end{aligned}$ | 68 | 50.0 | Yishen Zhilong powder, one dose divided into twice daily ( 100 mL each time), orally, plus the same conventional therapy for 10 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, | PTATC |
| Liu ${ }^{35}$ | ISSHL inpatients 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}: 19-65 \\ & \mathrm{C}: 18-62 \end{aligned}$ | 92 | 54.4 | Longdan Xiegan decoction, one dose divided into twice daily ( 200 mL daily), orally plus the same conventional therapy for 20 days | ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, DXM | PTATC, symptoms |
| Luo ${ }^{36}$ | ISSHL patients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:43.5(16-75) } \\ & \text { C:45.6(18-76) } \end{aligned}$ | 188 | 46.3 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, orally, plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Lipo PGE | PTATC, symptoms |
| $\mathrm{Pan}^{37}$ | ISSHL patients 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:45(21-69) } \\ & \text { C:42(17-67) } \end{aligned}$ | 154 | 47.4 | Xuesaitong injection, 400 mg each time, once daily plus the same conventional therapy for 10-20 days Ginkgo-damole injection, 15 mL each time, once daily plus the same conventional therapy for $10-20$ days | Co-enzyme A, citicoline, vitamin, DXM, batroxobin, HBO | PTATC |
| Shao ${ }^{38}$ | ISSHL outpatients 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:46.65(21-68) } \\ & \text { C:46.87(20-68) } \end{aligned}$ | 80 | 53.8 | Tongqiao Huoxue powder, 205 g daily, orally plus the same conventional therapy | Lipo PGE, vitamin | PTATC, symptoms |

Table 1. continued
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Table 1. continued

| Study ID | Participants and diagnostic criteria | Mean age (year) (median/range) | Sample | Men (\%) | Intervention | Control | Outcomes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wei ${ }^{45}$ | ISSHL inpatients and outpatients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:NR } \\ & \text { C:NR } \end{aligned}$ | 72 | 56.9 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose daily, orally plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, nicholin | PTATC |
| $\mathrm{Wu}^{46}$ | ISSHL outpatients Otorhinolaryngology criteria | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:37.5(12-60) } \\ & \text { C:37.1(12-60) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 55.0 | Mixed Chinese herbs (Modified Tongqiao Huoxue decoction, one dose divided into twice daily, orally, Xuesaitong injection, 600 mg each time, once daily) plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | DXM, vitamin, ATP | PTATC |
| Yang ${ }^{47}$ | ISSHL outpatients. <br> 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:51(20-75) } \\ & \text { C:52(20-70) } \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 60.0 | Shugan Tongqiao decoction, one dose divided into twice daily plus the same conventional therapy | Fluorine gonadorelin, duxil | PTATC |
| Yang ${ }^{48}$ | ISSHL patients. 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 40.18 \pm 13.32(19-65) \\ & \text { C: } 41.21 \pm 12.07(21- \\ & 65) \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 46.7 | Longdan Xiegan pill or Yinqiao powder or Erchen decoction or Erlong Zuoci pill or Buzhong Yiqi decoction according to different syndromes plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, ahylysantinfarctase | PTATC |
| Yin ${ }^{49}$ | ISSHL patients. 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:51.6(17-83) } \\ & \text { C:47.8(17-79) } \end{aligned}$ | 145 | 46.2 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus the same conventional therapy for 10 days | Lipo PGE, DXM, HBO | PTATC |
| $\mathrm{Yu}^{50}$ | ISSHL inpatients and outpatients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}: 41(25-70) \\ & \mathrm{C}: 40.5(23-72) \end{aligned}$ | 72 | 62.5 | Practitioner-prescribed Conger decoction, one dose daily, orally plus the same conventional therapy for 1428 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, coenzyme A, vitamin, | PTATC, symptoms |

Table 1. continued

| Study ID | Participants and diagnostic criteria | Mean age (year) (median/range) | Sample | Men (\%) | Intervention | Control | Outcomes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Yu}^{51}$ | ISSHL outpatients. 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 38.1 \pm 5.0(20-69) \\ & \text { C:38.0 } \pm 6.5(19-70) \end{aligned}$ | 65 | 46.2 | Tuqiao Tonglong decoction orally plus the same conventional therapy for $14-$ 28 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, | PTATC, symptoms |
| Yuan ${ }^{52}$ | ISSHL patients. 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:50.5(31-70) } \\ & \text { C:51.5(35-68) } \end{aligned}$ | 100 | 56.0 | Kangnaoshuai capsule, six capsules each time, orally, three times daily plus the same conventional therapy for 15 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, moroxydine hydrochloride | PTATC |
| Zhang ${ }^{53}$ | ISSHL patients Otolaryngology encyclopedia | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}: 17-64 \\ & \mathrm{C}: 16-67 \end{aligned}$ | 78 | 59.0 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose divided into twice daily, orally plus the same conventional therapy | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, | PTATC |
| Zhang ${ }^{54}$ | ISSHL patients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:NR } \\ & \text { C:NR } \end{aligned}$ | 120 | 61.7 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose daily, orally plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, HBO, DXM | PTATC |
| Zhang ${ }^{55}$ | ISSHL patients 1997 version | $\begin{gathered} \text { I: } 44.7(21-59) \\ \text { C:45.1(22-60) } \end{gathered}$ | 48 | 45.8 | Mixed Chinese herbs (Xuesaitong injection, 8 mL daily, Modified Longdan Xiegan decoction or Modified Qingqi Huatan pill or Modified Tongqiao Huoxue decoction or Modified Erlong Zuoci pill according to different syndromes) plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Co-enzyme A, vitamin, mecobalamin tablets, Lipo PGE, DXM | PTATC, symptoms |
| Zhang ${ }^{56}$ | ISSHL patients 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:38(15-79) } \\ & \text { C:40(8-60) } \end{aligned}$ | 86 | 55.8 | Tongqiao Huoxue decoction, 100 mL each time, orally, three times daily plus the same conventional therapy for 12 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, batroxobin, DXM | PTATC |

Table 1. continued

| Study ID | Participants and diagnostic criteria | Mean age (year) (median/range) | Sample | Men (\%) | Intervention | Control | Outcomes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zhang ${ }^{57}$ | ISSHL patients Guide version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:NR } \\ & \text { C:NR } \end{aligned}$ | 86 | 53.5 | Longdan Xiegan decoction plus the same conventional therapy for 20 days | ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, DXM, HBO | PTATC |
| Zhang ${ }^{58}$ | ISSHL patients Otorhinolaryngology diagnostics | I:NR C:NR | 56 | 39.3 | Tongqiao Huoxue decoction, two dose, daily, orally plus the same conventional therapy for 10 days | ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, bullomedil hydrochloride, citicoline, DXM | PTATC |
| Zhang ${ }^{59}$ | ISSHL patients 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}: 16-68 \\ & \mathrm{C}: 17-66 \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 63.3 | Longdan Xiegan decoction, one dose divided into twice daily, orally plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Bullomedil hydrochloride | PTATC |
| Zhou ${ }^{60}$ | ISSHL patients 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 48.2 \pm 1.9(18-67) \\ & \text { C:48.1 } \pm 2.2(20-71) \end{aligned}$ | 80 | NR | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose divided into twice daily ( 400 mL ), orally plus the same conventional therapy for 10 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, DXM | PTATC |
| Zhu ${ }^{61}$ | ISSHL inpatients and outpatients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 41.3(24-73) \\ & \text { C:42.8(24-72) } \end{aligned}$ | 70 | 67.1 | Yangxin Zishen decoction plus the same conventional therapy for 14-56 days | Cerebroprotein hydrolysate, flunarizine hydrochloride | PTATC, symptoms |
| Zhu ${ }^{62}$ | ISSHL inpatients 1997 version | $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{I}: 35.66 & \pm 13.67 \\ \mathrm{C}: 38.66 & \pm 13.74 \end{aligned}$ | 58 | 50.0 | Mixed Chinese herbs <br> (Tongqiao Huoxue decoction, Tongqiao powder) 100 mL each time, orally, twice daily plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Lipo PGE, mecobalamin tablets, nicotinic, acid tablets | PTATC, symptoms, adverse effects |
| Qiao ${ }^{63}$ | ISSHL patients Journal version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I:43.6(21-74) } \\ & \text { C:41.7(19-68) } \end{aligned}$ | 97 | 70.1 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, one dose, orally, once daily plus the same conventional therapy for 14 days | Low molecular weight dextran, ATP, co-enzyme A, vitamin, ahylysantinfarctase, DXM, HBO | PTATC |
| Yan ${ }^{64}$ | ISSHL outpatients 1997 version | $\begin{gathered} \text { I: } 42.6(14-78) \\ \text { C:41(15-77) } \end{gathered}$ | 115 | 56.5 | Yiqi Conger decoction, 300 mL each time, orally, twice daily plus the same conventional therapy for 14 28 days | Bullomedil hydrochloride | PTATC |

Table 1. continued

| Study ID | Participants and diagnostic criteria | Mean age (year) (median/range) | Sample | Men (\%) | Intervention | Control | Outcomes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { Wang }^{62}$ | ISSHL patients 2005 version | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I: } 44 \pm 17.4(20-65) \\ & \text { C: } 45 \pm 19.6(23-60) \end{aligned}$ | 60 | 51.7 | Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula, 300 mL each time, orally, twice daily plus the same conventional therapy for 20 days | ATP, co-enzyme A, nimodipine, HBO | PTATC |
| Jiang ${ }^{66}$ | ISSHL patients 2005 version | I:NR C:NR | 94 | 78.7 | $I_{1}$ : Extract of ginkgo biloba leaf injection, 70 mg each time, once daily plus DXM 10 mg , once daily for 14 days $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ : Extract of ginkgo biloba leaf injection, 70 mg each time, once daily plus Lipo PGE, $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, once daily plus DXM 10 mg , once daily for 14 days | Lipo PGE, DXM | PTATC |

[^1]

Fig. 2. Risk of bias graph: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item presented as percentages across all included studies.
depression (risk ratio $-0.56,95 \%$ confidence interval -2.13 to 1.01 ) after 14 -day treatment. ${ }^{61}$

Adverse effects. Adverse effects were reported in only two trials. One stated that none had occurred during treatment session ${ }^{62}$ and the other mentioned adverse effects in CHM group, in which two participants suffered more stool and recovered after reducing dosage or drug withdrawal; three participants complained of abdominal distention which disappeared after taking domperidone instead of CHM. ${ }^{51}$

## Discussion

## Principal findings

Forty-one trials using the planned comparisons were available for evaluation, but meta-analysis was impossible due to the heterogeneity of participants and interventions. Among 41 eligible trials, one trial suggested a greater improvement in pure-tone audiometric threshold favouring CHM. 48.8\% (20/41) trials demonstrated a potential positive effect on proportion of participants with improvement in pure-tone audiometric threshold, and $50.0 \%(6 / 12)$ showed CHM seemed to exert a beneficial effect on improvement in tinnitus. At present, these data do not provide conclusive and high-quality evidence to judge the effect and safety of CHM for ISSHL. Given the sources of potential bias, further evidence is required to confirm these trends.

## Limitations

There were some limitations in this review. Firstly, all trials were methodologically weak and at high risk of bias. Of 41 trials, only one provided information on the method of
randomisation and allocation concealment. No trial conducted blinding and mentioned sample size estimation. Furthermore, there was a considerable clinical heterogeneity in Chinese herbs investigated in the review. A wide range of Chinese herbs were covered, including 29 Chinese herbal formula, seven Chinese herbal powders, five herbal extract injections and three Chinese herbal pills. Thus, it is impossible to synthesise the data into meta-analysis and conduct subgroup analysis to explore the effect of different regimens. In addition, there is inadequate information about a quality standard for the manufacturing of CHM, and therefore, the ingredients and their composition are not standardised. In particular, in view of high rate of spontaneous recovery from ISSHL, ${ }^{1}$ there is a likelihood of bias because of the various times that participants were included in these trials. Moreover, there was limited evidence of safety and long-term effect of CHM due to lack of follow-up performance and the inadequate reporting of adverse effects, respectively. Consequently, great caution should be exercised when interpreting the conclusions of this review.

## Implications for further research

More rigorous multicentre, larger, adequately powered randomised clinical trials that evaluate CHM for ISSHL are warranted to provide higher-quality evidence. Any future trials would need to state the process of random sequence generation, adequately conceal the allocation of treatment, employ effective and explicit blinding and make a clear description of dropout and withdrawal. Data should be analysed according to intention-to-treat principles. Information about manufacturing method of CHM and their ingredients should be provided sufficiently. Participants should be recruited with clear entry criteria and followed up for long time with clear outcome measures including quality

Table 2. Effect estimates of Chinese herbal medicine for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss in randomised clinical trials

| Outcomes or subgroups | No. of studies | No. of participants | Effect estimate | Study ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean improvement of pure-tone audiometric threshold (MD, 95\%CI) |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese herbal medicine plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 3 | 282 |  |  |
| Xuesaitong injection plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 154 | $-5.00[-12.23,2.23]$ | Pan ${ }^{37}$ |
| Ginkgo-damole injection plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 154 | -4.00 [-11.70, 3.70] | Pan ${ }^{37}$ |
| Yangxin Zishen decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 70 | -26.17[-29.15, -23.19] | Zhu ${ }^{61}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 58 | -2.74 [-7.57, 2.09] | Zhu ${ }^{62}$ |
| Proportion of participants with improvement in pure-tone audiometric threshold (RR, 95\%CI) |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese herbal medicine versus conventional therapy | 1 | 60 |  |  |
| Tongqiao Conger decoction versus betahistine mesylate | 1 | 60 | 1.08 [0.88, 1.32] | $\mathrm{Si}^{40}$ |
| Chinese herbal medicine plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 40 | 3,500 |  |  |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 117 | 1.35 [1.08, 1.67] | Chen ${ }^{26}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed Zishen Yangxin Huayu decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 60 | 1.60 [1.07, 2.39] | Cui ${ }^{27}$ |
| Erlong 2 Powder plus Lipo PGE plus vitamin versus Lipo PGE plus vitamin | 1 | 76 | 1.31 [1.03, 1.67] | Duan ${ }^{28}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 226 | 1.05 [0.90, 1.22] | Guan ${ }^{29}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 226 | 0.91 [0.80, 1.04] | Guan ${ }^{29}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 65 | 1.16 [0.92, 1.45] | $\mathrm{Li}^{30}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 60 | 1.64 [1.07, 2.53] | Liang ${ }^{31}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 100 | 1.22 [1.01, 1.47] | Liang ${ }^{32}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 60 | 1.44 [0.97, 2.12] | Liu ${ }^{33}$ |
| Yishen Zhilong powder plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 68 | 1.38 [0.89, 2.15] | Liu ${ }^{34}$ |
| Longdan Xiegan decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 92 | 1.36 [1.08, 1.72] | Liu ${ }^{35}$ |

Table 2. continued

| Outcomes or subgroups | No. of studies | No. of participants | Effect estimate | Study ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus Lipo PGE versus Lipo PGE | 1 | 188 | 1.08 [0.95, 1.22] | Luo ${ }^{36}$ |
| Xuesaitong injection plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 154 | 1.34 [0.98, 1.84] | Pan ${ }^{37}$ |
| Ginkgo-damole injection plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 154 | 1.31 [0.96, 1.80] | Pan ${ }^{37}$ |
| Tongqiao Huoxue powder plus Lipo PGE plus vitamin versus Lipo PGE plus vitamin | 1 | 80 | 1.26 [0.98, 1.62] | Shao ${ }^{38}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 80 | 1.26 [0.98, 1.62] | Shi ${ }^{39}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 106 | 1.20 [1.02, 1.42] | Sun ${ }^{41}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus corticosteroid versus corticosteroid | 1 | 66 | 1.23 [0.98, 1.55] | Sun ${ }^{42}$ |
| Xingqi Huoxue Tongqiao decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 50 | 1.58 [1.03, 2.40] | Wang ${ }^{43}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 50 | 1.36 [0.90, 2.05] | Wang ${ }^{44}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 72 | 1.18 [0.95, 1.47] | Wei ${ }^{45}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 60 | 1.38 [1.01, 1.90] | $\mathrm{Wu}^{46}$ |
| Shugan Tongqiao decoction plus fluorine gonadorelin plus duxil versus fluorine gonadorelin plus duxil | 1 | 60 | 1.41 [0.98, 2.02] | Yang ${ }^{47}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 120 | 1.29 [1.03, 1.62] | Yang ${ }^{48}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 145 | 1.32 [1.11, 1.57] | Yin ${ }^{49}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed Conger decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 72 | 1.24 [1.01, 1.51] | $\mathrm{Yu}^{50}$ |
| Tuqiao Tonglong decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 65 | 1.49 [1.12, 1.98] | $\mathrm{Yu}^{51}$ |
| Kangnaoshuai capsule plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 100 | 1.50 [1.21, 1.86] | Yuan ${ }^{52}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 78 | 1.51 [1.13, 2.03] | Zhang ${ }^{53}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 120 | 1.19 [0.98, 1.44] | Zhang ${ }^{58}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 48 | 1.42 [0.96, 2.10] | Zhang ${ }^{55}$ |
| Tongqiao Huoxue decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 86 | 1.09 [0.97, 1.23] | Zhang ${ }^{56}$ |

Table 2. continued

| Outcomes or subgroups | No. of studies | No. of participants | Effect estimate | Study ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Longdan Xiegan decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 86 | 1.12 [0.91, 1.37] | Zhang ${ }^{57}$ |
| Huoxue Tongqiao decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 56 | 1.20 [0.91, 1.59] | Zhang ${ }^{58}$ |
| Longdan Xiegan decoction plus bullomedil hydrochloride versus bullomedil hydrochloride | 1 | 60 | 0.99 [0.71, 1.38] | Zhang ${ }^{59}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 80 | 1.38 [1.09, 1.74] | Zhou ${ }^{60}$ |
| Yangxin Zishen decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 70 | 1.60 [0.98, 2.59] | Zhu ${ }^{61}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 58 | 1.26 [1.02, 1.55] | Zhu ${ }^{62}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 97 | 1.39 [1.08, 1.79] | Qiao ${ }^{63}$ |
| Yiqi Conger decoction plus bullomedil hydrochloride versus bullomedil hydrochloride | 1 | 115 | 1.23 [1.00, 1.52] | Yan ${ }^{64}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus conventional therapy | 1 | 60 | 1.37 [1.01, 1.86] | Wang ${ }^{65}$ |
| Extract of ginkgo biloba leaves injection plus dexamethasone versus dexamethasone plus Lipo PGE | 1 | 94 | 1.45 [1.05, 2.00] | Jiang ${ }^{66}$ |
| Extract of ginkgo biloba leaves injection plus Lipo PGE plus dexamethasone versus Lipo PGE plus dexamethasone | 1 | 94 | 1.01 [0.68, 1.51$]$ | Jiang ${ }^{66}$ |
| Proportion of participants with improvement of tinnitus (RR, 95\%CI) |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese herbal medicine plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 12 | 929 |  |  |
| Practitioner-prescribed Zishen Yangxin Huayu Decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 60 | 1.64 [1.14, 2.37] | Cui ${ }^{27}$ |
| Erlong 2 Powder plus Lipo PGE plus vitamin versus Lipo PGE plus vitamin | 1 | 76 | 2.00 [1.22, 3.27] | Duan ${ }^{28}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 60 | 1.54 [1.01, 2.34] | Liang ${ }^{31}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 60 | 1.54 [1.01, 2.34] | Liu ${ }^{33}$ |
| Longdan Xiegan decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 92 | 1.25 [1.04, 1.50] | Liu ${ }^{35}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus Lipo PGE versus Lipo PGE | 1 | 188 | 1.58 [1.19, 2.09] | Luo ${ }^{36}$ |
| Tongqiao Huoxue powder plus Lipo PGE plus vitamin versus Lipo PGE plus vitamin | 1 | 80 | 1.25 [0.92, 1.69] | Shao ${ }^{38}$ |

Table 2. continued

| Outcomes or subgroups | No. of studies | No. of participants | Effect estimate | Study ID |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practitioner-prescribed Conger decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 72 | 1.40 [1.00, 1.96] | $\mathrm{Yu}^{50}$ |
| Tuqiao Tonglong decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 65 | 1.40 [1.00, 1.96] | $\mathrm{Yu}^{51}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 48 | 1.37 [0.91, 2.06] | Zhang ${ }^{55}$ |
| Yangxin Zishen decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 70 | 1.64 [0.87, 3.08] | Zhu ${ }^{61}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 58 | 0.95 [0.70, 1.29] | Zhu ${ }^{62}$ |
| Proportion of participants with improvement of dizziness (RR, 95\%CI) |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese herbal medicine plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 6 | 559 |  |  |
| Erlong 2 powder plus Lipo PGE plus vitamin versus Lipo PGE plus vitamin | 1 | 76 | 8.07 [1.21, 53.58] | Duan ${ }^{28}$ |
| Longdan Xiegan decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 92 | 1.06 [0.93, 1.20] | Liu ${ }^{35}$ |
| Practitioner-prescribed herbal formula plus Lipo PGE versus Lipo PGE | 1 | 188 | 1.03 [0.89, 1.19] | Luo ${ }^{36}$ |
| Tongqiao Huoxue powder plus Lipo PGE plus vitamin versus Lipo PGE plus vitamin | 1 | 80 | 1.30 [0.96, 1.78] | Shao ${ }^{38}$ |
| Tuqiao Tonglong decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 65 | 1.00 [0.89, 1.12] | $\mathrm{Yu}^{51}$ |
| Mixed Chinese herbs plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 58 | 0.33 [0.13, 0.81] | Zhu ${ }^{62}$ |
| Scores of depression and anxiety (MD, 95\%CI) |  |  |  |  |
| Chinese herbal medicine plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 70 |  |  |
| Yangxin Zishen decoction plus conventional therapy versus the same conventional therapy | 1 | 70 | Depression: $-0.56[-2.13,1.01]$ <br> Anxiety: $-2.80[-4.80,-0.80]$ | Zhu ${ }^{61}$ |

of life. Adverse effects and the cost-benefit of CHM should be reported. Studies should be run and managed in accordance with Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials statement so as to promote the internal and external validity. ${ }^{69}$

## Conclusion

Currently, there appears a limited role for CHM to significantly contribute to improving hearing in people with ISSHL. The current evidence from the poor methodological quality of trials is insufficiently robust to support the clinical use of CHM for ISSHL. Therefore, further rigorous trials
with high quality are needed to increase the strength of evidence.

## Keypoints

- At present, there is insufficient evidence to judge the effect and safety of Chinese herbal medicine for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss.
- More rigorous multicenter, larger, adequately powered randomised clinical trials that evaluate Chinese herbal medicine for idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss are warranted.
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